## Remarks

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action dated October 4, 2007. The Office Action rejected claims 1-6, 8-11, and 13-15 under 35 USC § 102(b), rejected claim 7 under 35 USC § 103(a), and objected to claim 12 for depending from a rejected claim. Specifically the Office Action stated that were original claim 12 to be written in independent format it would be allowable because the limitation of production-related data and/or application related data and calibration data and/or mechanical correction data are not taught in the prior art of record. Claim 11 has been amended to recite the allowed limitations of original claim 12 in independent format. Claims 1-10 and 12 have been cancelled.

As a result, Applicant respectfully submits this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of claims 11 and 13-15 are requested. Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

VIDAS, ARRETT & STEINKRAUS

Date: April 3, 2008

By: /Benjamin Carlsen/

Benjamin E. Carlsen Registration No.: 52.697

6640 Shady Oak Dr., Suite 400 Eden Prairie, MN 55344-7834 Telephone: (952) 563-3000 Facsimile: (952) 563-3001